

FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0065

Title: Extend intervention in delinquency program

Primary

Sponsor: Chris Ahner

Status: As introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	-----------------------------	------

Fiscal Summary

	<u>FY2000 Difference</u>	<u>FY2001 Difference</u>
Expenditures:	\$0	\$0
Revenue:	\$0	\$0
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>		<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	
	X	Significant Local Gov. Impact	X		Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget	X		Significant Long-Term Impacts

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Currently the Department of Corrections (DOC) allocates juvenile placement funds to judicial districts for budgeting purposes; however if one judicial district's spending results in a surplus, it is used to cover over-expenditures incurred by other judicial districts. The DOC assumes the judicial districts with surpluses will be interested in the possibility of using the surplus funding for additional community programs in an effort to deter youths from becoming adjudicated. In FY 1998, there were ten judicial districts that had surpluses totaling approximately \$781,772.
2. This legislation could have fiscal impact anywhere from zero to \$781,772. Judicial districts may or may not reduce over-expenditures, realize a surplus as anticipated, or be within their budget allocation.
3. Judicial districts with histories of deficit spending probably will not be interested in becoming pilot project districts.

4. The DOC anticipates juvenile placement expenditures in the 2001 biennium will be at the FY 1998 level.

FISCAL IMPACT:

	<u>FY2000 Difference</u>	<u>FY2001 Difference</u>
FTE		
<u>Expenditures:</u>	\$0	\$0
<u>Funding:</u>	\$0	\$0
<u>Revenues:</u>	\$0	\$0
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):</u>	\$0	\$0

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

This bill will allow pilot project judicial districts to spend any of their program surplus on developing additional community youth court programs.

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

The intent of this bill is to create a system that (1) intervenes before a youth is adjudicated and (2) places juvenile offenders in the least restrictive and lowest cost settings. This could result in future cost savings for the placement of juveniles in out-of-home care.

TECHNICAL NOTES:

1. Fiscal years referred to in Section 73(2) should be: “high-spending urban judicial district that is based on expenditures for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999”
2. Fiscal years referred to in Section 73(2) should be: “In fiscal year 2000 and fiscal year 2001, the department may create accounts for up to 10 additional judicial districts of which at least---”
3. Section 82. Termination should be: “[Section 73] terminates June 30, 2001.”